

## Federal Communications Commission Enforcement Bureau 45 L Street, NE Washington, DC 20554

April 13, 2021

## VIA CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

**To:** Miguel Vazquez

CEO

Phonetime Inc. dba Tellza

1250 East Hallandale Beach Blvd.

Suite PH-1

Hallandale, FL 33009 mike@tellza.com

Re: Official Correspondence from the Federal Communications Commission

Dear Mr. Vazquez,

We have determined that Phonetime Inc. dba Tellza (Tellza) is apparently transmitting illegal robocall traffic on behalf of one or more of its clients. You should investigate and, if necessary, cease transmitting such traffic immediately and take steps to prevent your network from continuing to be a source of apparently illegal robocalls. As noted below, downstream voice service providers will be authorized to **block** *all* of **Tellza's traffic** if you do not take steps to "effectively mitigate illegal traffic" within 48 hours, or if you fail to inform the Commission and the Traceback Consortium within fourteen (14) days of this letter (April 27, 2021) of the steps you have taken to "implement effective measures" to prevent customers from using your network to make illegal calls.<sup>1</sup>

Why You Are Receiving This Notification. You are receiving this letter because one or more investigations conducted by the Commission, in conjunction with the Traceback Consortium, revealed that Tellza apparently transmitted multiple illegal robocall campaigns from the sources listed in Attachment A.

**Actions You Should Take Now.** Tellza should take the following steps to resolve this matter:

- 1. Promptly investigate the transmissions identified above.
- 2. If necessary, "effectively mitigate" the identified unlawful traffic by determining the source of the traffic and preventing that source from continuing to originate such traffic.
- 3. Implement effective safeguards to prevent customers from using your network as a platform to originate illegal calls.
- 4. Within 48 hours, inform the Commission and the Traceback Consortium of steps taken to mitigate the identified apparent illegal traffic.
- 5. Within fourteen (14) days of the date of this letter (April 27, 2021), inform the Commission and the Traceback Consortium of the steps Tellza is taking to prevent

.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See 47 CFR § 64.1200(k)(4).

customers from using its network to transmit illegal robocalls.<sup>2</sup> You must also include a declaration attesting to the truthfulness and accuracy of your response under section 1.17 of the Commission's rules.<sup>3</sup> Failure to provide this information within 14 days shall be equivalent to having failed to put effective measures in place.<sup>4</sup>

Consequences for Failure to Comply. If after 48 hours of issuance of this letter Tellza continues to route or transmit harmful robocall traffic from the entities involved in these campaigns, downstream U.S.-based voice service providers may begin blocking all calls from Tellza after notifying the Commission of their decision and providing a brief summary of their basis for making such a determination. Furthermore, if Tellza fails to take sufficient mitigating actions to prevent its network from continuing to be used to transmit illegal robocalls, then downstream U.S.-based providers may block calls following notice to the Commission. Failure to act within the deadlines may result in the Commission issuing a notice to all U.S.-based voice service providers that they may permanently block *ALL* call traffic transmitting from your network.

Please direct any inquiries regarding this letter to Daniel Stepanicich, Attorney Advisor, Telecommunications Consumers Division, Enforcement Bureau, FCC, at <a href="mailto:daniel.stepanicich@fcc.gov">daniel.stepanicich@fcc.gov</a> or (202) 418-7451; and cc: to Kristi Thompson, Division Chief, Telecommunications Consumers Division,

<sup>2</sup> See Advanced Methods to Target and Eliminate Unlawful Robocalls, CG Docket No. 17-59, Third Report and Order, Order on Reconsideration, and Fourth Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 35 FCC Rcd 7614, 7630, para. 43 (2020) (Call Blocking Safe Harbor Report and Order).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 47 CFR § 1.17. See also 47 CFR § 1.16 (describing the format for such declarations).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> You are encouraged to reach out to the Commission before the deadline if you anticipate needing more time to execute this step.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> In July 2020, the Commission adopted the Call Blocking Safe Harbor Report and Order, which authorized voice service providers to block illegal robocalls. Call Blocking Safe Harbor Report and Order, 35 FCC Rcd at 7628, para. 36; see also 47 CFR § 64.1200(k)(3)-(4). If the Commission identifies illegal traffic, based on information obtained through traceback such as that provided by the Traceback Consortium, the Commission may notify the voice service provider that it is transmitting identified probable illegal calls (or "bad traffic") and, upon receipt of notification, the voice service provider should investigate promptly and take any steps that may be necessary to prevent the illegal caller from continuing to use the network to make such calls. Furthermore, if the notified voice service provider fails to take effective mitigation measures within 48 hours, any downstream voice service provider may block the calls from the notified provider. Call Blocking Safe Harbor Report and Order, 35 FCC Rcd at 7628-29, para. 39. Any voice service provider that decides to block traffic from the bad actor provider must notify the Commission of its decision and provide a brief summary of its basis for making such a determination prior to initiating blocking. Id. at 7630, para. 42; 47 CFR § 64.1200(k)(4). If the notified voice service provider fails to implement effective measures to prevent new and renewing customers from using its network to originate illegal calls, other downstream voice service providers may block the calls from the notified provider. Call Blocking Safe Harbor Report and Order, 35 FCC Rcd at 7630 para. 43; 47 CFR § 64.1200(k)(3)-(4). A voice service provider that decides to block traffic from the bad actor provider must notify the Commission of its decision and provide a brief summary of its basis for making such a determination prior to initiating blocking. *Id.* at 7630, para. 43; 47 CFR § 64.1200(k)(4).

Enforcement Bureau, FCC, at <a href="mailto:kristi.thompson@fcc.gov">kristi.thompson@fcc.gov</a>. A copy of this letter has been sent to the Traceback Consortium.

Sincerely,

Kristi Thompson Division Chief

**Telecommunications Consumers Division** 

Enforcement Bureau

Federal Communications Commission

## ATTACHMENT A

Customer	Date of Call	Caller ID	Called Number	Description	Violation
Viber Media S.a.r.l	Mar. 18, 2021			Fraudulent Credit Card Rate Reduction Robocalls.	47 U.S.C. § 227(b); 47 CFR § 64.1200
Viber Media S.a.r.l	Mar. 18, 2021			Fraudulent Credit Card Rate Reduction Robocalls.	47 U.S.C. § 227(b); 47 CFR § 64.1200
Sigma Telecom	Mar. 7, 2021			Fraudulent Utility Services Robocall	47 U.S.C. § 227(b); 47 CFR § 64.1200
Viber Media S.a.r.l	Mar. 7, 2021			Apple Customer Service Imposter	47 U.S.C. § 227(b); 47 CFR § 64.1200
Viber Media S.a.r.l	Mar. 4, 2021			Apple Customer Service Imposter	47 U.S.C. § 227(b); 47 CFR § 64.1200
Viber Media S.a.r.l	Mar. 4, 2021			Apple Customer Service Imposter	47 U.S.C. § 227(b); 47 CFR § 64.1200
Viber Media S.a.r.l	Mar. 4, 2021			Apple Customer Service Imposter	47 U.S.C. § 227(b); 47 CFR § 64.1200
Icon Global Services	Mar. 3, 2021			Fraudulent Utility Services Robocall	47 U.S.C. § 227(b); 47 CFR § 64.1200
Sistemas Satelitales Colombia S.A.	Mar. 1, 2021			Fraudulent Utility Services Robocall	47 U.S.C. § 227(b); 47 CFR § 64.1200
Sistemas Satelitales Colombia S.A E.S.P.	Feb. 28, 2021			Fraudulent Utility Services Robocall	47 U.S.C. § 227(b); 47 CFR § 64.1200
QXTel	Feb. 23, 2021			Social Security Administration Imposter Robocalls	47 U.S.C. § 227(b); 47 CFR § 64.1200
Icon Global Services	Feb. 4, 2021			Apple Customer Service Imposter	47 U.S.C. § 227(b); 47 CFR § 64.1200
Viber Media S.a.r.l	Feb. 2, 2021			Apple Customer Service Imposter	47 U.S.C. § 227(b); 47 CFR § 64.1200

Icon Global Services	Jan. 29, 2021	Apple Customer Service Imposter	47 U.S.C. § 227(b); 47 CFR § 64.1200
TWT S.p.A.	Jan. 29, 2021	COVID-Related Robocalls – Stay Home	47 U.S.C. § 227(b); 47 CFR § 64.1200
QXTel	Jan. 13, 2021	Social Security Administration Imposter Robocalls	47 U.S.C. § 227(b); 47 CFR § 64.1200
QXTel	Jan. 13, 2021	COVID-Related Robocalls – Stay Home	47 U.S.C. § 227(b); 47 CFR § 64.1200
Icon Global Services	Jan. 12, 2021	Apple Customer Service Imposter	47 U.S.C. § 227(b); 47 CFR § 64.1200
Sistemas Satelitales Colombia S.A.	Jan. 11, 2021	Fraudulent Student Loan Robocalls	47 U.S.C. § 227(b); 47 CFR § 64.1200
Sigma Telecom	Jan. 7, 2021	Apple Customer Service Imposter	47 U.S.C. § 227(b); 47 CFR § 64.1200